

# EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS )  
ex rel. MYKEL POWELL and )  
COMMONWEALTH SECOND AMENDMENT, ) Civil Action  
INC.; and MYKEL POWELL, )  
Plaintiffs ) No. 18-11336-FDS  
vs. )  
SGT. BRIAN HOLMES, in his )  
Individual and Official )  
Capacity as Sergeant of the )  
Stoughton Police Department; )  
JAMES O'CONNOR, in his )  
Individual and Official )  
Capacity as Deputy Chief of the )  
Stoughton Police Department; )  
DONNA M. McNAMARA, in her )  
Individual and Official )  
Capacity as Chief of the )  
Stoughton Police Department; )  
and VILLAGE GUN SHOP, INC. )  
D/b/a VILLAGE VAULT, )  
Defendants )

BEFORE: CHIEF JUDGE F. DENNIS SAYLOR, IV

John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse  
Courtroom No. 10  
1 Courthouse Way  
Boston, MA 02210

STATUS CONFERENCE

March 5, 2020  
2:50 p.m.

Valerie A. O'Hara, FCRR, RPR  
Official Court Reporter  
John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse  
1 Courthouse Way  
Boston, MA 02210  
E-mail: vaohara@gmail.com

1 APPEARANCES:

2 For The Plaintiffs:

3 David Jensen PLLC, by DAVID D. JENSEN, ESQ.,  
4 111 John Street, Suite 420, New York, New York 10038;

5 Margarita Smirnova, Attorney at Law,  
6 7 Greenbriar Dr, Unit 109, North Reading, Massachusetts 01864;

VIA TELEPHONE:

7 For the Defendants:

8 Brody, Hardoon, Perkins & Kesten, by THOMAS R. DONOHUE,  
9 ESQ., One Exeter Plaza, Boston, Massachusetts 02116;

10 Reardon, Joyce & Akerson, P.C., by ANDREW J. GAMBACCINI,  
11 ESQ., 4 Lancaster Terrace, Worcester, Massachusetts 01609.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

PROCEEDINGS

THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated. Court is now in session in the matter of Powell vs. Holmes, et al., Civil Action 18-11336.

Would counsel please identify themselves for the record, beginning with counsel on the phone.

MR. DONOHUE: Tom Donohue for the defendants, Brian Holmes, James O'Connor and Donna McNamara. Good afternoon.

02:44PM THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. GAMBACCINI: Good afternoon, your Honor, Andy Gambaccini for Defendants Peter Dowd and Village Vault.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. JENSEN: And, your Honor, David Jensen for the plaintiffs.

MS. SMIRNOVA: Margarita Smirnova for the plaintiffs.

02:44PM THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. This is a status conference in this case. Mr. Donohue and Mr. Gambaccini are appearing by telephone. I'll ask the lawyers present in the courtroom to please speak into the mic. to make sure that they can hear you.

I have plaintiff's motion to amend the schedule. Mr. Jensen, do you want to be heard on that?

MR. JENSEN: Your Honor, I apologize for our oversight on this. We were working off of what our understanding of the

1 schedule was, and, regardless, based on the dynamics of this  
2 case or the needs of this case, I don't really see much of a  
3 realistic choice aside from having written discovery take place  
4 during a longer period.

5 THE COURT: I guess I'm not sure, first off, what was  
6 unclear about the order, and, second, what discovery needs to  
7 occur in this case. I mean, I'm somewhat familiar with it.  
8 This is not a highly complex matter I don't think. Well, I'll  
9 let you respond to that.

02:45PM 10 MR. JENSEN: Well, the No. 1 thing, frankly, is the  
11 log books showing the firearms that went through this business  
12 because that's pretty essential to quantifying the amount of  
13 damages as well as identifying other parties who ought to be  
14 brought into this case.

15 There are some other matters that were outstanding of  
16 those requests to business records, police records that would  
17 go to the same thing, but --

18 THE COURT: And what was unclear about the order that  
19 the written discovery shall be served by a particular date?

02:46PM 20 MR. JENSEN: Your Honor, I do apologize, but I read  
21 that -- I read it quickly, but I read it as being initial  
22 discovery requests would be sent out by that date for the  
23 purpose of getting the case moving, but that wouldn't be a  
24 limitation on serving discovery later on.

25 THE COURT: All right. Who wants to respond?

1 Mr. Donohue.

2 MR. DONOHUE: Thank you, your Honor. The plaintiffs  
3 missed the Court's deadline, the deadline was clear, and they  
4 haven't established good cause to change the Court's order. I  
5 believe we were in a position that we could not respond to  
6 discovery that was served after the Court's order.

7 The plaintiff certainly knew about the Court's order  
8 because they served timely discovery, and this is not the type  
9 of situation where they learned something through that first  
02:47PM 10 round of paper discovery and then are following up on that.  
11 They just seem to have missed the deadline and haven't  
12 established any good cause for the Court to amend its order.

13 THE COURT: Mr. Gambaccini, do you want to be heard?

14 MR. GAMBACCINI: Nothing to add, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: All right. Well, it's not at all clear to  
16 me that good cause has been shown to amend the discovery order,  
17 but here's what I am going to do. I'm going to cut the baby in  
18 half, so to speak, and permit the late discovery requests that  
19 ask for the acquisition and disposition of the log book of  
02:48PM 20 Village Vault, and I'm going to leave it at that.

21 I'm going to otherwise deny the motion to amend the  
22 schedule. It's possible that some deposition or further  
23 development leads to some second wave or need for a second wave  
24 request, but I think I'd be within my rights to deny it  
25 altogether. On the other hand, the prejudice is not great

1 here, and I will handle it that way.

2 MR. GAMBACCINI: If I may, your Honor, this is  
3 Andy Gambaccini.

4 THE COURT: Yes.

5 MR. GAMBACCINI: I had mentioned to Attorney Jensen  
6 some time ago, the business of Village Vault no longer is in  
7 operation. Mr. Dowd does not have the acquisition disposition  
8 log. When we shut down the business, that went to an agency in  
9 the Washington D.C. area, and that's where the log book  
02:49PM 10 resides, so he does not have access to that material at this  
11 point.

12 THE COURT: Meaning a federal agency, ATF or --

13 MR. GAMBACCINI: That is his understanding. I've  
14 asked whether it's ATF or some other agency. He does not have  
15 a memory as to where it went.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Does he have any documents showing,  
17 like a transmittal letter or anything?

18 MR. GAMBACCINI: He tells me he does not.

19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jensen, how do you want to  
02:49PM 20 respond? It seems to me that if this is -- well, you tell me.  
21 Assuming that's correct for the moment, where does that leave  
22 you?

23 MR. JENSEN: Well, there are still a couple of  
24 categories of records that would go to this, and they are,  
25 first of all, the receipts that were issued to the police

1 department in respect of the guns, assuming those still exist,  
2 and, secondly, the inventory records from within the police  
3 department, which would reflect transfers out to that company.

4 THE COURT: So the receipts would be in the normal  
5 course, the gun shop would have the receipts, and the inventory  
6 records would be the police department records?

7 MR. JENSEN: Well, presumably, the police department  
8 would also have copies of the receipts since they received  
9 them.

02:50PM 10 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Donohue.

11 MR. DONOHUE: I think for some limited time frame, it  
12 may make sense. I can look and see what the police department  
13 has for receipts from Village Vault.

14 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to expand my order  
15 to include then any receipts or inventory records that reflect  
16 the transfer to or from the Village Vault or Village Gun Shop,  
17 Inc., whether it's in the custody of Mr. Dowd or the gun shop  
18 or the police department, and we'll limit it to that.

19 And, of course, it has to have been specifically  
02:51PM 20 requested. In other words, I'm not trying to trump a discovery  
21 request, but whatever the time frame or other limitation is and  
22 that's it, so to that extent, I will grant the motion to amend  
23 the schedule, and it's otherwise denied.

24 In the meantime, I think the discovery is May 22nd. I  
25 want to keep that in place. Anything else that we have to talk



1 about? Mr. Jensen.

2 MR. JENSEN: Your Honor, the one matter that I might  
3 suggest is the next status conference that we have in this  
4 matter is May 27. I think it might behoove us to set that  
5 conference for next month.

6 THE COURT: And why is that?

7 MR. JENSEN: I think we may want to see where we're at  
8 in about 30 days or so.

9 THE COURT: Well, I guess I'm a believer in status  
02:52PM 10 conferences, but I don't want to run up costs. I guess no harm  
11 as long as I'm appearing people to appear by telephone. All  
12 right. So, how about Friday, April 10th at 3:00? That's good  
13 Friday, if that makes a difference to anyone. You can appear  
14 by phone.

15 MR. DONOHUE: It works for me.

16 MR. GAMBACCINI: This is Andy Gambaccini. That also  
17 works for me.

18 MR. JENSEN: It works for us as well, your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Jensen, you should feel free to  
02:53PM 20 appear by phone. I don't want you -- some of my most pleasant  
21 memories, of course, are taking the shuttle on a Friday  
22 afternoon. It's always pleasant, but it's up to you. Friday  
23 afternoon, April 10th at 3:00, further status. Anything else,  
24 Mr. Donohue?

25 MR. DONOHUE: No, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Gambaccini.

2 MR. GAMBACCINI: Nothing, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

4 MR. JENSEN: Thank you.

5 (Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 2:53 p.m.)

6 C E R T I F I C A T E

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT )

8 DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS ) ss.

9 CITY OF BOSTON )

10 I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript,  
11 Pages 1 through 9 inclusive, was recorded by me  
12 stenographically at the time and place aforesaid in Civil  
13 Action No. 18-11336-FDS, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS *ex rel.*  
14 MYKEL POWELL and COMMONWEALTH SECOND AMENDMENT, INC.; and MYKEL  
15 POWELL vs. SGT. BRIAN HOLMES, in his Individual and Official  
16 Capacity as Sergeant of the Stoughton Police Department; JAMES  
17 O'CONNOR, in his Individual and Official Capacity as Deputy  
18 Chief of the Stoughton Police Department; DONNA M. McNAMARA, in  
19 her Individual and Official Capacity as Chief of the Stoughton  
20 Police Department; and VILLAGE GUN SHOP, INC. D/b/a VILLAGE  
21 VAULT, and thereafter by me reduced to typewriting and is a  
22 true and accurate record of the proceedings.

23 Dated April 8, 2020.

24 s/s Valerie A. O'Hara

25 

---

VALERIE A. O'HARA  
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER